

Some remarks about curves in metric spaces

Stephen William Semmes
Rice University
Houston, Texas

Let $(M, d(x, y))$ be a metric space. Thus M is a nonempty set and $d(x, y)$ is a nonnegative real-valued function defined for $x, y \in M$ such that $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$, $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in M$, and

$$(1) \quad d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$. Of course this last condition is known as the triangle inequality.

We can weaken the triangle inequality to the requirement that there is a positive real number C such that

$$(2) \quad d(x, z) \leq C (d(x, y) + d(y, z))$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$. In this case, with the other conditions as before, we say that $d(x, y)$ is a quasimetric on M . A stronger version of the triangle inequality asks that

$$(3) \quad d(x, z) \leq \max(d(x, y), d(y, z)),$$

and when this happens we say that $d(x, y)$ is an ultrametric. One can check that an ultrametric space is totally disconnected, which is to say that it does not contain a connected subset with more than two elements.

Let us say that a subset E of a metric space $(M, d(x, y))$ is chain connected if for every pair of points $u, v \in E$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a finite chain w_1, \dots, w_l of points in E such that $w_1 = u$, $w_l = v$, and $d(w_j, w_{j+1}) < \epsilon$ for all $1 \leq j < l$. For any subset E of M and $\epsilon > 0$, if u is an element of E and $E_1(u)$ is the set of points in E which can be connected to u by a finite ϵ -chain of points in E of this type, and if $E_2(u)$ consists of the remaining

points in E , then the distance between every element of $E_1(u)$ and $E_2(u)$ is at least ϵ . As a consequence, if E is connected in the usual sense, then E is chain connected. One can check that the converse holds when E is compact.

By a path in a metric space we mean a continuous mapping from a closed and bounded interval $[a, b]$ in the real line into M . A subset E of M is said to be pathwise connected if for every pair of points $u, v \in E$ there is a continuous path contained in E which begins at u and ends at v . A pathwise-connected set is connected, but the converse does not work in general, even for compact subsets of \mathbf{R}^2 . As in the previous paragraph, connectedness implies chain connectedness, which is somewhat like path connectedness, but without much information on the complexity of the chains.

One can consider more refined notions of chain connectedness and pathwise connectedness with controls on the complexity of the chains or paths. For that matter one can view ϵ -chains as a kind of generalization of paths, defined on a discrete set of points in the real line. For instance one might choose the points in the domain so that their incremental distances are the same as the corresponding points in the metric space.

The types of controls that one might consider for chains or paths are closely related to the kind of metric being used. If $(M, d(x, y))$ is a metric space and a is a positive real number, one can define a new distance function $\rho(x, y)$ on M by

$$(4) \quad \rho(x, y) = d(x, y)^a.$$

If $0 < a < 1$, one can check that this defines a metric on M , which we may call the snowflake transform of order a of $d(x, y)$. If $a > 1$, then $\rho(x, y)$ is still a quasimetric on M . If $d(x, y)$ happens to be an ultrametric, then $\rho(x, y)$ is also an ultrametric for all $a > 0$.

Let us mention a very nice converse result from [2]. Namely, if $\rho(x, y)$ is a quasimetric on M , then there is a metric $\delta(x, y)$ on M and positive real numbers η, C such that $C^{-1} \delta(x, y) \leq \rho(x, y)^\eta \leq C \delta(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in M$. Thus quasimetrics can always be approximated by ordinary metrics in this manner.

Suppose that $(M_1, d_1(x, y))$ and $(M_2, d_2(u, v))$ are metric spaces, or even quasimetric spaces, and let f be a mapping from M_1 to M_2 . We say that f is Lipschitz of order a for some positive real number a if there is a positive real number L such that

$$(5) \quad d_2(f(x), f(y)) \leq L d_1(x, y)^a$$

for all $x, y \in M$. This parameter a is closely related to the exponents of distance functions discussed earlier, because one can change a automatically by replacing $d_1(x, y)$ or $d_2(u, v)$ by positive powers of themselves.

An important feature of metric spaces is that they always have a rich supply of real-valued Lipschitz functions of order 1. To be more precise, if $(M, d(x, y))$ is a metric space and p is any element of M , then the function $f_p(x) = d(x, p)$ is Lipschitz of order 1, with constant $L = 1$. This can be verified using the triangle inequality, and it does not work in general for quasimetrics. Of course we use the standard metric on the real line for the range of these functions.

We can use Lipschitz conditions to control the complexity of curves in metric spaces, or also chains of points by viewing them as mappings from discrete subsets of the real line into the metric space. If $p(t)$ is a Lipschitz mapping of order 1 from the unit interval $[0, 1]$ in the real line into a metric space $(M, d(x, y))$, then it is reasonable to say that the path has finite length less than or equal to the Lipschitz constant of the mapping. In general it may be possible to connect a pair of points in a subset E of a metric space M by a continuous path in E , and one which is even Lipschitz of some orders, and not Lipschitz of other orders.

If $(M, d(x, y))$ is a metric space and a is a real number such that $a > 1$, then any continuous mapping from an interval in the real line into M which is Lipschitz of order a is constant. When M is the real line, with the usual metric, this follows from the observation that a real-valued function on an interval which is Lipschitz of order strictly larger than 1 has derivative 0 everywhere. In general one can reduce to this case by mapping the curve from M into the real line using a real-valued Lipschitz function.

Now suppose that $(M, d(x, y))$ is a metric space and b is a positive real number with $b < 1$, and consider the snowflake metric $\rho(x, y) = d(x, y)^b$. Any Lipschitz mapping of order 1 from an interval in the real line into $(M, \rho(x, y))$ is the same as a Lipschitz mapping of order $a = 1/b > 1$ into $(M, d(x, y))$, and hence is constant. There may be curves defined by Lipschitz mappings of order $\leq b$, depending on the geometry of M .

There are a lot of classical topics in geometric topology related to dimensions and embeddings, as in [1]. In particular let us mention the famous examples of the Sierpinski gasket and carpet and the Menger sponge. The first two are compact subsets of \mathbf{R}^2 while the third is a compact subset of \mathbf{R}^3 . Each has topological dimension 1, and is also pathwise connected.

In fact these well-known fractal sets also have a lot of nice curves of finite

length. From a purely topological point of view this might be considered as an extra bonus. They do not have any snowflaking, and they do not need any. Of course there are also matters of self-similarity, nice measures on them, etc.

Purely topological aspects of spaces like these and related mappings have been studied quite a bit. One might also mention other kinds of compact connected sets such as Bing's pseudo-arc, which is a lot like a continuous arc but is not an arc, and has other special features too. There are a lot of tricky properties of spaces like these, along the lines of what might be mapped where satisfying such-and-such conditions. Additional restrictions on complexity such as those given by Lipschitz classes lead to a lot of new questions.

References

- [1] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, revised edition, Princeton University Press, 1948.
- [2] R. Macías and C. Segovia, *Lipschitz functions on spaces of homogeneous type*, *Advances in Mathematics* **33** (1979), 257–270.
- [3] S. Semmes, *Happy fractals and some aspects of analysis on metric spaces*, *Publicacions Matemàtiques* **47** (2003), 261–309.